

TOMAS C. LEON  
(CA SBN 321117)  
[tommie@leon.law](mailto:tommie@leon.law)  
(909) 616-5969  
LEON LAW, LLP  
1145 W. 55<sup>th</sup> Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90037  
Attorney for Plaintiff

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

GS HOLISTIC, LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

MAYA & JILL, INC. d/b/a THEGSHOP  
and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL,

Defendant.

No. 8:23-cv-00775

**COMPLAINT FOR INJUNCTIVE RELIEF  
AND DAMAGES**

The Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC (hereinafter referred to as “GS”), by and through its undersigned counsel, hereby files this, its Complaint against the Defendants, MAYA & JILL, INC. d/b/a THEGSHOP and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL, and alleges, as follows:

**Jurisdictional Allegations**

1. This is a civil action against the Defendants for trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin and unfair competition, under the Lanham Act (15 U.S.C. § 1051 *et. seq.*).

2. This Court has subject matter jurisdiction over the claims in this action that relate to trademark infringement, counterfeiting, and false designation of origin and unfair competition

pursuant to the provisions of 15 U.S.C. § 1121 and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1338(a).

3. This Court has personal jurisdiction over the Defendants because MAYA & JILL, INC. d/b/a THEGSHOP is incorporated in and has its principal place of business in California. The KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL resides in California, and the Defendants regularly conduct and solicit business in the State of California (including in this Judicial District).

### **Venue**

4. Venue is proper in this district under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) in that the Defendants reside in this district, a substantial part of the events or omissions giving rise to the claim occurred in this district, and the Defendants are subject to personal jurisdiction in this Judicial District with respect to this action, and there is no other district in which the action may otherwise be brought.

### **Parties**

5. GS HOLISTIC, LLC is a Delaware Limited Liability Corporation that has its principal place of business at 7162 Beverly Boulevard, #207, Los Angeles, California 90036. GS is the registered owner of the Stündenglass trademarks.

6. MAYA & JILL, INC. d/b/a THEGSHOP (hereinafter referred to as “THEGSHOP”) is a company/corporation that is incorporated in California, and has its principal place of business at 118 W G St, Ontario, CA 91762. THEGSHOP has engaged in the unlawful manufacture, retail sale, and/or wholesale sales of counterfeit Stündenglass glass infusers.

7. At all times material to this Complaint, KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL owned, managed, and/or operated THEGSHOP, and regularly exercised the authority to purchase products for resale, decide which products THEGSHOP offered for sale, to hire and fire employees, and controlled the finances and operations of THEGSHOP.

### **Facts Common to All Counts**

A. The History of The Stündenglass Brand.

1           8.       Since 2020, GS has marketed and sold products using the well-known trademark  
2 “Stündenglass.” The Stündenglass branded products, such as glass infusers and accessories related  
3 thereto, are widely recognized nationally and internationally. Indeed, the Stündenglass brand is  
4 one of the leading companies in the industry, known for high quality and innovation of products.

5           9.       For approximately two years, GS has worked to distinguish the Stündenglass brand  
6 as the premier manufacturer of glass infusers by emphasizing the brand’s unwavering use of quality  
7 materials and focusing on scientific principles which facilitate a superior smoking experience.  
8 Stündenglass branded products embody a painstaking attention to detail, which is evident in many  
9 facets of authentic Stündenglass branded products. It is precisely because of the unyielding quest  
10 for quality and unsurpassed innovation that Stündenglass branded products have a significant  
11 following and appreciation amongst consumers in the United States and internationally.

12           10.     As a result of the continuous and extensive use of the trademark  
13 “STÜNDENGLASS,” GS was granted both valid and subsisting federal statutory and common law  
14 rights to the Stündenglass trademark.  
15

16           11.     GS is the rightful owner of United States trademarks, which are registered on the  
17 Principal Register and have become incontestable within the meaning of Section 15 of the Lanham  
18 Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1065. The following is a list of GS’s federally registered trademarks:  
19

20               a.     U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,633,884 for the standard character  
21 mark “Stündenglass” in association with goods further identified in registration in  
22 international class 011.

23               b.     U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,174,292 for the design plus words  
24 mark “S” and its logo in association with goods further identified in the registration in  
international class 034.

25               c.     U.S. Trademark Registration Number 6,174,291 for the standard character  
26 mark “Stündenglass” in association with goods further identified in registration in  
27 international class 034.  
28

12. The above U.S. registrations are valid, subsisting and in full force and effect.

The Stündenglass Brand in the United States.

13. GS has used the Stündenglass Marks in commerce throughout the United States, continuously, since 2020, in connection with the manufacturing of glass infusers and accessories.

14. The Stündenglass Marks are distinctive to both the consuming public and the Plaintiff's trade. GS's Stündenglass branded products are made from superior materials. The superiority of Stündenglass branded products is not only readily apparent to consumers, but to industry professionals as well.

15. The Stündenglass Trademarks are exclusive to GS and appear clearly on GS's Stündenglass Products, as well as on the packaging and advertisements related to the products. GS has expended substantial time, money, and other resources in developing, advertising, and otherwise promoting and protecting these Trademarks. As a result, products bearing GS's Stündenglass Trademarks are widely recognized and exclusively associated by consumers, the public, and the trade as being high-quality products sourced from GS.

16. GS's Stündenglass Products have become some of the most popular of their kind in the world and have also been the subject of extensive unsolicited publicity resulting from their high-quality and innovative designs. Because of these and other factors, the GS brand, the Stündenglass brand, and GS's Stündenglass Trademarks are famous throughout the United States.

17. Since 2020, GS has worked to build significant goodwill in the Stündenglass brand in the United States. GS has spent substantial time, money, and effort in developing consumer recognition and awareness of the Stündenglass brand, via point of purchase materials, displays, through their websites, attending industry trade shows, and through social media promotion.

18. In fact, the Stündenglass Products have been praised and recognized by numerous online publications, as well as publications directed to the general public.

1           19. Due to the high quality of the brand and products, GS has collaborated with  
2 numerous celebrities and companies to create collaborations for the Stündenglass products.

3           20. GS sells its products under the Stündenglass Marks to authorized stores in the United  
4 States, including in California. GS has approximately 3,000 authorized stores in the United States  
5 selling its products. As such, Stündenglass branded products reach a vast array of consumers  
6 throughout the country.

7           21. It is because of the recognized quality and innovation associated with the  
8 Stündenglass Marks that consumers are willing to pay higher prices for genuine Stündenglass  
9 products. For example, a Stündenglass brand glass infuser is priced at \$599.95, while a non-  
10 Stündenglass branded product is also being sold for up to \$600, with a range of \$199 to \$600.

11           22. It is exactly because of their higher sales value that Stündenglass branded products  
12 are targeted by counterfeiters. These unscrupulous people and entities tarnish the Stündenglass  
13 brand by unlawfully selling glass infusers that have identical, or nearly identical, versions of the  
14 Stündenglass Marks affixed to products that are made with inferior materials and technology,  
15 thereby leading to significant illegitimate profits by store owners, such as KAMLESHKUMAR  
16 PATEL.  
17

18           23. In essence, KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL misleads consumers by selling in their  
19 stores low grade products that free ride on the goodwill of the Stündenglass brand, and in turn,  
20 KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL reaps substantial ill-gotten profits. KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL's  
21 conduct contributes to the complete flooding of the marketplace with counterfeit products, which  
22 results in lost sales and damages to GS and irreparable harm to the Stündenglass brand's image.  
23

24           24. Unfortunately, the current U.S. marketplace is saturated with counterfeit products –  
25 like those KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL through his store, THEGSHOP, has sold. As such, GS  
26 has been forced to scrupulously enforce its rights in order to protect the Stündenglass Marks against  
27

1 infringement. By exercising its Enforcement Rights, GS has proactively and successfully policed  
2 the unauthorized use of the Stündenglass Marks and/or counterfeit Stündenglass branded products  
3 nationwide. GS has had to bear great expense to seek out and investigate suspected counterfeiters  
4 in their attempt to clean up the marketplace.

### 5 **Defendants' Counterfeiting and Infringing Activities**

6 25. The Defendants have, without consent of GS, previously offered to sell and sold  
7 within the United States commerce, glass infusers bearing reproductions, counterfeits, copies  
8 and/or colorable imitations of the Stündenglass Trademarks that were not made or authorized by  
9 GS. (Hereinafter the "Counterfeit Goods").  
10

11 26. Nevertheless, the Defendants sold in commerce the Counterfeit Good using  
12 reproductions, counterfeits, copies and/or colorable imitations of one or more of the Stündenglass  
13 Marks. Specifically, the Defendants sold reproductions, counterfeits, copies, and/or colorable  
14 imitations of the Stündenglass Trademarks (hereinafter the "Infringing Marks"), detailed above.

15 27. The Defendants have, without the consent of GS, continued to sell the Counterfeit  
16 Goods bearing the Infringing Marks, bearing the likeness of the Stündenglass Trademarks in the  
17 United States.  
18

19 28. The marks affixed to the Counterfeit Goods that the Defendants have offered for  
20 sale are spurious marks which are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the  
21 Stündenglass Trademarks. The marks on the Counterfeit Goods are in fact counterfeit marks as  
22 defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d).

23 29. In the ongoing investigation into the sales of counterfeit products bearing the  
24 Stündenglass Marks, on August 5, 2022, the THEGSHOP offered for sale Counterfeit Goods.

25 30. Specifically, GS's investigator purchased a Glass Infuser with a Stündenglass Mark  
26 affixed to it, from THEGSHOP, for a cost of \$398.66, charged to the account of GS's investigator.  
27  
28

1           31.     Upon receipt, images and/or the physical unit of the product purchased from  
2 THEGSHOP were inspected by GS's agent to determine its authenticity. The inspection of the  
3 purchased item confirmed that the Glass Infuser THEGSHOP sold to GS's investigator was a  
4 Counterfeit Good with an Infringing Mark affixed to it.

5           32.     KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL authorized, directed, and/or participated in  
6 THEGSHOP's offer for sale, in commerce, of the Counterfeit Goods. KAMLESHKUMAR  
7 PATEL's acts were a moving, active, and/or conscious force behind THEGSHOP's infringement  
8 of the Stündenglass Trademarks.  
9

10          33.     The Defendants' use of the counterfeit Stündenglass Trademarks began long after  
11 the registration of the Stündenglass Trademarks. GS nor any of its authorized agents have  
12 consented to the Defendants' use of the Stündenglass Trademarks, or any use of reproductions,  
13 counterfeits, copies and/or colorable imitations thereof.

14          34.     The unauthorized sale by THEGSHOP, under the authority, direction and/or  
15 participation of KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL, of the Counterfeit Good(s) was an unlawful act in  
16 violation of the Lanham Act.  
17

18          35.     The offer for sale by the Defendants of the Counterfeit Good(s) bearing GS's  
19 Trademarks has caused GS to suffer losses and has caused damage to the goodwill and reputation  
20 associated with the Stündenglass Trademarks, which are owned by GS.

21          36.     THEGSHOP's use of the Stündenglass Marks includes displaying, selling, and/or  
22 offering for sale unauthorized copies of Stündenglass branded products. THEGSHOP's offering  
23 to sell, and the sale of the Stündenglass counterfeit products, bearing the Infringing Mark in this  
24 manner, was, and is, likely to cause confusion or to cause mistake and/or deceive consumers who  
25 purchase the Counterfeit Goods.  
26  
27  
28

1           37.     THEGSHOP used images and names identical to or confusingly similar to the  
2     Stündenglass Marks, to confuse customers and aid in the promotion and sales of Counterfeit Goods  
3     under the Infringing Mark.

4           38.     The Infringing Mark affixed to the Counterfeit Goods that THEGSHOP has  
5     distributed, provided, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered for sale, and/or sold, is confusingly  
6     identical or similar to the Stündenglass Marks that GS affixes to its glass infusers.

7           39.     The glass infusers that THEGSHOP sells and offers for sale under the Infringing  
8     Mark are made of substantially inferior materials and inferior technology as compared to genuine  
9     Stündenglass brand products.

10          40.     THEGSHOP has distributed, provided, marketed, advertised, promoted, offered for  
11     sale, and sold its water pipes under the Infringing Mark through its retail convenience store.

12          41.     Upon information and belief, THEGSHOP has marketed, advertised, and promoted  
13     its Counterfeit Goods under the Infringing Mark through point of purchase displays, and/or its  
14     website, and/or via social media promotion.

15          42.     THEGSHOP and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL's infringing acts as alleged herein  
16     have caused and are likely to cause confusion, mistake, and deception among the relevant  
17     consuming public as to the source or origin of the Counterfeit Goods sold by THEGSHOP, and are  
18     likely to deceive, and have deceived, the relevant consuming public into mistakenly believing that  
19     the Counterfeit Goods sold by THEGSHOP originate from, are associated or affiliated with, or  
20     otherwise authorized by GS.

21          43.     THEGSHOP and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL's acts are willful with the deliberate  
22     intent to trade on the goodwill of the Stündenglass Marks, cause confusion and deception in the  
23     marketplace, and divert potential sales of the Plaintiff's glass infusers to THEGSHOP.

24          44.     THEGSHOP and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL's acts are causing and, unless  
25     26     27     28



1 restrained, will continue to cause damage and immediate irreparable harm to GS, the Stündenglass  
2 Marks, and to its valuable reputation and goodwill with the consuming public for which GS has no  
3 adequate remedy at law.

4 45. As a proximate result of the unfair advantage accruing to KAMLESHKUMAR  
5 PATEL and THEGSHOP's business from deceptively trading on GS's advertising, sales, and  
6 consumer recognition, KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL and THEGSHOP has made and will continue  
7 to make substantial profits and gains to which they are not in law or equity entitled.

8 46. The injuries and damages sustained by GS has been directly and proximately caused  
9 by THEGSHOP and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL's wrongful advertisement, promotion,  
10 distribution, sale and offers for sale of their goods bearing infringements or counterfeits of the  
11 Stündenglass Marks.

12 47. Through such business activities, KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL and THEGSHOP  
13 purposefully derived direct benefits from its interstate commerce activities by targeting foreseeable  
14 purchasers in the State of California, and in doing so, have knowingly harmed GS.

15 48. Furthermore, the sale and distribution of Counterfeit Goods by THEGSHOP has  
16 infringed upon the above-identified federally registered trademarks.

17 49. The spurious marks or designations used by THEGSHOP in interstate commerce  
18 are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Marks on goods  
19 covered by the Stündenglass Marks. Such use therefore creates a false affiliation between  
20 THEGSHOP, GS, and the Stündenglass Marks.

21 50. Due to the actions of KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL and THEGSHOP, GS has been  
22 forced to retain the undersigned counsel and pay the costs of bringing an action forward. The  
23 KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL and THEGSHOP should be responsible for paying GS's reasonable  
24 costs of the action.

52. Moreover, THEGSHOP and KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL's wrongful acts will continue unless enjoined by the Court. Accordingly, KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL and THEGSHOP must be restrained and enjoined from any further counterfeiting or infringement of the Stündenglass Marks.

53. The Plaintiff avers Paragraphs 1 through 52, which are stated above and incorporate the allegations therein, as though they are fully restated and incorporated in this Count by reference.

55. The Defendants, without authorization from GS, have used in commerce spurious designations that are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Trademarks on the same goods covered by the Stündenglass Trademarks.

56. The Defendants' unauthorized use of counterfeit marks of the registered Stündenglass Trademarks on and in connection with the Defendants' offer(s) for sale in commerce is likely to cause confusion or mistake in the minds of the public.

57. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is willful and intended to cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Defendants, with GS or the Stündenglass Trademarks.

58. The Defendants' acts constitute willful trademark infringement in violation of Section 32 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1114.

59. The Defendants' actions constitute the use by the Defendants of one or more "counterfeit mark(s)" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1116(d)(1)(B).

60. The Defendants' use in commerce of the counterfeit Stündenglass Trademarks has resulted in lost profits and business to GS, which are difficult to determine. The Defendants have also, by selling counterfeit Stündenglass products, caused considerable damage to the goodwill of the Stündenglass Trademarks, and diminished the brand recognition of the Stündenglass Trademarks by introducing counterfeit products into the marketplace.

61. By reason of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to, among other relief, injunctive relief, an award of statutory damages, and costs of the action under Sections 34 and 35 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116, 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC, respectfully requests that this Court find in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant jointly and severally for the OWNER, and other officers, and directors, for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of MAYA & JILL, INC., awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, treble damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b), the costs of suit, and any further relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

**Count Two**  
**Federal False Designation of Origin and Unfair Competition, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a)**

62. The Plaintiff avers Paragraphs 1 through 52, which are stated above and incorporate the allegations therein, as though they are fully restated and incorporated in this Count by reference.

63. GS owns the federally registered Stündenglass Trademarks, as set forth in more detail in the foregoing paragraphs.

64. The Defendants, without authorization from GS, has used in commerce spurious designations that are identical with, or substantially indistinguishable from, the Stündenglass Trademarks on the same goods covered by the Stündenglass Trademarks.

65. The Defendants' unauthorized use of counterfeit marks of the registered Stündenglass Trademarks on and in connection with the Defendants' offers for sale in commerce

is likely to cause confusion or mistake in the minds of the public.

66. The Defendants' unauthorized use in commerce of the Stündenglass Trademarks as alleged herein constitutes use of a false designation of origin and misleading description and representation of fact in violation of Section 43(a) of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1125(a).

67. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is willful and is intended to, and is likely to, cause confusion, mistake, or deception as to the affiliation, connection, or association of the Defendants, with GS or the Stündenglass Trademarks.

68. The Defendants' conduct as alleged herein is causing immediate and irreparable harm and injury to GS, and to the goodwill and reputation of the Stündenglass Trademarks. Moreover, it will continue to cause damage GS and confuse the public unless enjoined by this Court.

69. GS has no adequate remedy at law.

70. By reason of the foregoing, the Plaintiff is entitled to, among other relief, injunctive relief, an award of statutory damages, and costs of the action under Sections 34 and 35 of the Lanham Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1116, 1117, together with prejudgment and post-judgment interest.

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC, respectfully requests that this Court find in favor of the Plaintiff and against the Defendant jointly and severally for the OWNER, and other officers, and directors, for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of MAYA & JILL, INC., awarding the Plaintiff statutory damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117, treble damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b), the costs of suit, and any further relief that this Court may deem just and proper.

#### **PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff, GS HOLISTIC, LLC, respectfully requests the following relief against the Defendants, as follows:

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1. With regard to Plaintiff’s Count I for trademark infringement:
  - a. Statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
  - b. Treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);
  - c. Costs of suit; and
  - d. Joint and several liability for KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL, and other officers, and directors, for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of MAYA & JILL, INC..
2. With regard to Plaintiff’s Count II for false designation and unfair competition:
  - a. Statutory damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117;
  - b. Treble damages under 15 U.S.C. § 1117(b);
  - c. Costs of suit; and
  - d. Joint and several liability for KAMLESHKUMAR PATEL, and other officers, and directors, for the knowing participation in the counterfeiting activities of MAYA & JILL, INC..
3. Preliminarily and permanently enjoining MAYA & JILL, INC. and its agents, employees, officers, directors, owners, representatives, successor companies, related companies, and all persons acting in concert or participation with it from:
  - a. The import, export, making, manufacture, reproduction, assembly, use, acquisition, purchase, offer, sale, transfer, brokerage, consignment, distribution, storage, shipment licensing, development, display, delivery, marketing, advertising or promotion of the counterfeit Stündenglass product identified in the Complaint and any other unauthorized Stündenglass product, counterfeit, copy or colorful imitation thereof;

4. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1116(a), directing MAYA & JILL, INC. to file with the Court and serve on the Plaintiff's within thirty (30) days after issuance of an injunction, a report in writing and under oath setting forth in detail the manner and form in which MAYA & JILL, INC. has complied with the injunction;

5. For an order from the Court requiring that the Defendants provide complete accountings and for equitable relief, including that the Defendants disgorge and return or pay their ill-gotten gains obtained from the illegal transactions entered into and/or pay restitution, including the amount of monies that should have been paid if the Defendants had complied with their legal obligations, or as equity requires;

6. For an order from the Court that an asset freeze or constructive trust be imposed on all monies and profits in the MAYA & JILL, INC.'s possession, which rightfully belong to the Plaintiff;

7. Pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1118 requiring that the Defendants and all others acting under the Defendants' authority, at its cost, be required to deliver up to the Plaintiff for destruction all products, accessories, labels, signs, prints, packages, wrappers, receptacles, advertisements, and other material in their possession, custody or control bearing any of the Stündenglass Trademarks.

8. For any other and further relief as the Court may deem just and equitable.

Date: May 3, 2023

Respectfully Submitted,

/s/ Tomas Carlos Leon.

Tomas Carlos Leon  
CA Bar #321117  
Leon Law LLP  
1145 W. 55<sup>th</sup> Street  
Los Angeles, California 90037  
[tommie@leon.law](mailto:tommie@leon.law)

*Attorneys for the Plaintiff*